

## Remarks


The enclosed amendment to claim 1 overcomes Akeyson. Applicant did not earlier make the amendment and argument because Akeyson had not been cited. Applicant understood its claim which recites a first and second aperture as distinguishing over aperture 11 in Akeyson. Reference 11 is actually a single through hole which receives pin 10.

To clarify the limitations of claim 1 over Akeyson, Applicant has included the recitation which states "said first aperture at a right angle to said second aperture." The through hole 11 does not have one portion at a right angle to the other portion. Rather the portions are aligned along a straight 180 degree path so as to receive pin 10. Accordingly, Applicant's claim 1 should be allowed.

Applicant believes there are sufficient differences with respect to pending application 10/173, 837 so as to not require a terminal disclaimer for the term of any patent which issues on the present application which would extend beyond application 10/173837 filed June 19, 2002. Also, as this application is based on an earlier patent's filing date, this case could not have a longer term.

Respectfully submitted,

August 17, 2006



---

James B. Conte, Registration No. 54,661  
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP  
P.O. Box 2786  
Chicago, Illinois 60690-2786  
Telephone: (312) 357-1313